Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 04/13/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 3**

Team 13:

Ah’yanna Hart, Collin James, Bella Sheprow

SR&R 3 Documentation Grade: **90** SR&R 3 Presentation Grade: **90**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 3 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your sprint. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (04/13/2022) |

#### SR&R 3 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 3 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **5** | 4 |
| Complete Data Flow Diagram Package | **20** | 15 |
| Navigation Diagram | **5** | 4 |
| Entity Relationship Diagrams | **10** | 8 |
| Program Plan showing logic and I/Os (IPO Chart with each program listed) | **10** | 10 |
| New Documentation | **5** | 5 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 90 |

#### SR&R 3 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| DFD Package | **30** | 23 |
| Initial ERD & IPO Chart | **15** | 13 |
| Project Updates on Previous/New Information/Documentation | **10** | 9 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 10 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 90 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document is looking professional. Check the page numbers match the items on the table-of-contents. The UCD belongs in Section 2—look at Sprint 6 grade sheet to see the document order.
* See all comments about DFD, NAV, ERD, IPO below in the PRESENTATION section
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are okay—what about user requirements of the project being populated on the system product backlog?
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is fine.
  + Sprint 3 Team Evaluation is very good. Have you gotten the DB connected?
* Project plan is okay—please check your dates for correctness, especially sprint 6
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* Your presentation was good
* CD Feedback
  + Add the arrowhead going to Email Notification from the system
  + The entities are to be squared corner rectangles
  + The system is Process 0 so it needs to look like a process
* DFD Feedback:
  + Level 0 DFD
    - What happens to the dataflow in Processes 1, 6, and 7 since they violate the syntax since there are not outflowing dataflows
    - Datastore names do not match ERD table names
    - Level 0 DFD shows 4 datastores, but you only have 3 tables—which is correct?
    - Why are there 2 arrowheads coming into Process 7.0 from D2?
    - Not all dataflows have names
    - Does Process 6.0 send a dataflow to D1?
  + Level 1 DFD Process 7.0
    - Each datastore needs its own individual dataflow going from itself to 7.2—you cannot combine lines
    - Dataflows are missing their names
* Nav diagram looks fine
* ERD looks fine – DFD datastores do not match the ERD table names & the number of tables do not match the number of datastores
* IPO chart is okay
* Sprint items and PSPI were fine
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.72/4 = 68%. You can see I gave you a 90%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.